

LINN COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
CEDAR RAPIDS, LINN COUNTY, IOWA
MONDAY, DECEMBER 12, 2022 11:00 A.M.

The Board met in session at the Linn County Jean Oxley Public Service Center.
Present: Chairperson Rogers, Vice Chairperson Zumbach and Supervisor Walker. Board members voting "AYE" unless otherwise noted.

Chairperson Rogers called the meeting to order.

Motion by Rogers, seconded by Walker to approve minutes of Dec. 7 & 9, 2022 as printed.

Motion by Rogers, seconded by Walker to open public hearing on an ordinance amending the Code of Ordinances, Linn County, Iowa, by amending provisions in Chapter 107, Unified Development Code by establishing setbacks for the placement of hazardous materials pipelines. Proof of publication was presented.

Charlie Nichols, Dir. of Planning & Development, presented the proposed ordinance including a brief overview including: Two setback standards 1) Areas of public assembly and 2) Dwellings; setbacks from dwellings may be waived by the owner. If waived, the pipeline shall provide proof of waiver; projects that have received approval from the IUB shall have their required setback reduced to 300' provided the pipeline addresses why necessary; Critical Natural Resource District protections and future growth area setbacks (which may be waived by the applicable city). He stated that the proposed ordinance is purposefully limited to establishing setbacks for hazardous materials pipelines (private). The Planning & Zoning Commission recommended approval on a vote of 5-1. The ordinance approval process was explained noting that changes can be made that are not substantial without having to start over. He also clarified that the Iowa Utilities Board (IUB) does not have to abide by any of Linn County's regulations when granting a permit.

Supervisor Walker asked Nichols if in his opinion they have fashioned an ordinance that is the strongest possible ordinance with the strongest safety mechanism.

Nichols responded that what has been brought forth is the best possible ordinance given the time constraints. They have done a very good job with using the tools they have to protect the health, safety and welfare of Linn County residents. Story and Shelby counties passed ordinances and are currently in litigation brought on by the pipeline companies. He stated that if they want their ordinance to be considered by IUB when looking at a pipeline application, it needs to be in place.

Supervisor Zumbach introduced a concept of stacked ordinances whereby not everything that Linn County wants to regulate is in one ordinance.

Atty. Amanda James, Wolf Carbon Solutions, stated that part of the process is to hold public input meetings and they finished those last week. The pipeline company can now talk to landowners and negotiate where it makes the most sense. An application will be submitted requesting a permit to build the pipeline. They can also talk to public safety responders and agencies regarding a response plan. They met last week with county staff and got up to speed on the proposed pipeline regulations. They would like to have more conversations regarding the definitions and future growth. She directed the Board to a resource led by the Pipeline & Hazardous Materials Safety Admin. (PHMSA) that worked with national associations and created a guidance for communities and local leaders on how to provide safety and health regulations for the pipelines (this wasn't cited in the proposed ordinance). The company does not think setbacks is the best tool and is not the most effective and explained (including topographical issues). The resource she mentioned provides for other things that the county can do besides setbacks that would make more sense and provide greater safety with all of the risks considered. She continued to say that her main point today is that they would like to continue the process and continue to explore those definitions and explore what are the tools that the Board has that would allow them to do what is within their jurisdiction and provides the safest solution for everyone.

Wally Taylor, representing the Iowa Chapter of the Sierra Club, stated that he appreciates the Board's efforts to adopt an ordinance that will protect the people and land of Linn County from the pipeline. He previously sent his thoughts to the Board and Nichols on how the ordinance can be improved. He clarified the Board's authority in establishing an ordinance, noting that there is some misunderstanding about that. He stated that PHMSA's authority comes from the Pipeline Safety Act which gives PHMSA the authority to impose safety standards for the design, installation, inspection, emergency planning, testing, construction, operation and placement of the pipeline. He read sections of the Act which states that the county has the authority to establish requirements for where that pipeline is located. The pipeline companies want everyone to believe that anything that even remotely considers safety is preempted by federal

law and it is not. The IUB requires the pipeline company to state how they will comply with local land use and zoning ordinances. The IUB has to consider the ordinance in their decision and he recommends that the county intervene in the IUB proceedings for Wolf Pipeline (will get a seat at the table and present concerns). He also stated that it is true that two counties have been sued by the Summit Pipeline but it is just intimidation.

Pam Mackey-Taylor, Marion, stated that it is important for the Board to intervene when Wolf files their permit application with the IUB. It gives the county a seat at the table and gives them the opportunity to negotiate their position with the routing and siting of the pipeline.

Christine Clark, Lisbon, stated that her land is in the direct route of the pipeline in southern Linn County. She is concerned about the safety of the pipelines with carbon dioxide under high pressure. This critical state carbon dioxide pipeline is different than the other gas/oil pipelines with respect to safety. Her neighbors' homes are close to the proposed pipeline route. She asked that the Board create a fair and effective ordinance on setbacks to protect the citizens of Linn County.

Mike Carberry, Iowa City, representing the Sierra Club Steering Committee (Food & Ag.), commented on how hard the Board's job is and thanked them and especially Supervisor Walker who is moving onto the next chapter of his life. When it comes to pipelines (moving through the U.S.) they are moving energy, but their secondary job is to leak. All pipelines leak, always, and he gave examples. They damage crop land and the ability to farm. Most importantly, he wanted to talk about the need for the pipelines which is taking the CO2 off of ethanol plants and other biofuel plants. The Sierra Club does not believe that biofuels is the answer to energy needs and he spoke about the future of ethanol. He hears that "Iowa feeds the world" when really, the "world feeds Iowa". Iowa should be growing more actual food and noted that 58% of the corn grown goes into ethanol. They are moving to an all electric economy and he encouraged the Board to take a step back and look at the future. Is this needed and what can they do to make it better.

Patricia Booth, rural Cedar Rapids, noted that the Board's job is not easy and thanked Nichols for the great work he does. She stated that the ordinance needs some refinement. She asked that they take out the ability for a waiver which can always be updated in the future as technology is reformed. She is a nurse by trade and noted that folks with disabilities are not going to get out with a 300' setback. Her daughter has developmental disabilities, is a legal dependent, and will not be able to get out. Her neighbors (some of whom are in wheelchairs) and those that are dependent upon transportation (with nothing available in the county) will not get out. She asked what the city's stand is. Has anyone conferred with them? She hears about wanting the ordinance to pass but what about doing what is right? The Board has to listen to those on the frontline and those are the people that live in the community are affected by the pipeline. The Board needs to listen to them as they were elected to protect the community. A 300' setback waiver is not acceptable. She asked the Board to stand up and protect them. She presented a petition with 180 signatures of people within the community and was placed on file with the Auditor's Office.

Michael Daly, rural Lisbon, stated that he lives ½ mile from the pipeline main route and stated that the Board's letter of opposition to the Navigator pipeline was a model document. Over the last summer and fall he assisted in landowner's meetings in Linn and Cedar County to help inform neighbors of the proposed pipeline. They were asked at these meetings how it can be prevented, how do they protect the public's safety and what can they do to limit the extra expenses that Linn County government will accrue. He offered them a petition to present to the Board asking to develop a meaningful ordinance. He has 77 signatures on that petition which asks to set protections, charge fees, address safety issue, require bonds and hopefully intervene in the IUB process. The signers are multi-generations of Linn County residents who built their dreams, created safe homes, supported their communities and schools and pay the taxes that supports this county government. He stated that the current proposal presents weak actions and is a premature attempt to guarantee Linn County resident's safety. Some of the troubling aspects of the ordinance are the setbacks. Nichols used a 6" pipeline as an example but Wolfe started with 20", then went to 15" and are now back to 20". How can a child in school have one setback limit and then a smaller setback at home. Don't they deserve equal protection? How can a citizen in a future growth area have more setback protection than someone already present living in a dwelling? How can a stable, shooting range or cemetery demand a larger setback

requirement than a rural dwelling? Wolf's neglect, misrepresentation of facts, non-transparency and carelessness in the August mailings shows how dependable their words and actions are. Wolf's executives have stated that without the tax credits, they would have no interest in building the pipeline. The Board should not be entertaining the Wolf proposal with a premature ordinance at the expense of Linn County residents. Perhaps wait for the Iowa courts to clarify the preemption argument and PHMSA issues an accurate plume dispersion modeling results and new regulations.

Jessica Wiskus, rural Lisbon, thanked the Board and Nichols for drafting an ordinance to regulate the citing of CO2 pipelines in Linn County. She is not asking the Board to stop pipelines, but asking them to do what lies within their jurisdiction which is to formulate land use guidance that upholds the best interest of Linn County residents. She quoted the Chairwoman of the IUB and others from the Dec. 5th meeting. She is asking the Board to have the courage to lead the way and stated that she appreciates the thoughtfulness of what is drafted but asked that they not pass the ordinance without revisions. There are numerous loopholes and she gave examples. There is also no scientific criteria for the pipeline's petition. Another loophole is the provision for waivers. This is about a conflict between people of a community who stand together that "loves thy neighbor as thyself" and a multi-national, multi-billion dollar corporation coming in, pointing at a map and taking for themselves Linn County resident's pursuit of happiness, liberty and potentially lives. The Board must serve the side of justice. Close the loopholes on setbacks, waivers, critical natural resources and intervene at the proceedings. Make a rigorous ordinance.

William Miller, Cedar Rapids, stated that he doesn't understand why they are entertaining a pipeline caused by ADM. They can purchase CO2 scrubbers that are in use in their stacks and there would be no reason for a pipeline. Can anybody tell him if ADM requested enterprise to put in a pipeline? There is no purpose for the pipeline other than greed and money. They make CO2 scrubbers and they work.

Steve Pizarik, rural Mt. Vernon, referred to Supervisor Zumbach's analogy of stacked ordinances, noting that is a great point. The waiver for setbacks needs to come out and he explained why. This ordinance is a good start but it needs to be tweaked but not to stop there. Be motivated to pass more things to stand up against it. This is not a public utility. It is a private pipeline. Everyone is opposed with the exception of Amanda James who said that altitude has some bearing on where the setback should be. He gave examples of high density, low lying areas (Ely and College Community). He urged the Board to try and stop it in any way they can.

Nelson Batke, rural Mt. Vernon, stated that he feels the same way as everyone that spoke. He has been to Satartia, Mississippi where the CO2 pipeline exploded and gave details. A 300' setback is nothing and people are going to die. At some point the pipeline is going to rupture and people are going to die. The Board has a chance to do something about that. The Board should look at an article about Satartia. This is being called a harvesting operation. It's not. It's a taxpayer dollar harvesting operation.

Tom Mohan, rural Cedar Rapids, stated that he is a retired Juvenile Detention Center employee and this is personal for him. It is closer than he would like it to be. He supports those that spoke today and share their concerns. Pipelines fail, especially high-pressure pipelines and he is extremely concerned. There is no federal or state protections and the disaster at Satartia is a nightmare and it continues. Damage isn't being measured in terms of years and feet but measured in miles. This ordinance is a good start, but loopholes leave it powerless and ineffective. He asked the Board to show courage and leadership and take real meaningful action to protect them. Strengthen this ordinance and intervene. Remove waivers and loopholes.

Stacey Schilroth, rural Ely, stated that she owns property north of Ely which is Ag. ground with potential urban development. She is concerned about the impacts on future development areas and landlocking the city of Ely. She is also concerned about the safety of it. Her husband has a business there, employees and her son are there. There are topographical issues to consider because it hugs the ground (heavier than air) and will flow southward towards her property and the city of Ely. She referred to the Satartia plume that went 4.5 miles. She is asking the Board to make sure they have the safety factors and potential development factors considered.

David Wiskus, rural Lisbon, stated that everyone has stated good points and asked the Board to look at it from their side. They are concerned because each of them is one little person with all their problems but the Board represents 150,000 of them. This is the pipeline they are talking about today but what about 20 years from now. The Board has to make an ordinance strong and not to worry about getting sued. The Board

are leaders and if they take a strong stance without loopholes in this ordinance, that will give the other counties the strength to stand up and pass ordinances because it takes one leader, then the next will. Don't go halfway, go all the way. The Board represents everyone in Linn County. Stand up and lead.

Motion by Rogers, seconded by Walker to close public hearing.

Discussion continued regarding setback waivers, substantial changes and the process.

Supervisor Walker stated that he has heard some compelling testimony today. The public did a good job identifying a couple trouble spots and he needs questions answered. He also has several questions that are best answered by legal council in a closed session He would also like to read materials that they were encouraged to read, therefore, he signaled that he will not support the ordinance as written.

Supervisor Zumbach stated that he is going to support the ordinance but agrees with Supervisor Walker that they have a lot more questions to be answered. He doesn't want to leave today with nothing and he wants to keep the ball rolling, knowing that they can still make changes, even if they have to start over.

Motion by Rogers, seconded by Zumbach to approve first consideration on an ordinance amending the Code of Ordinances, Linn County, Iowa, by amending provisions in Chapter 107, Unified Development Code by establishing setbacks for the placement of hazardous materials pipelines.

Discussion: Supervisor Walker indicated that he would like to see setback conditions waived; further information on the equation being used for the diameter of the pipe that determines setbacks; and more information on the topography (identify densely populated areas).

Supervisor Zumbach stated that his areas of interests need to be discussed in closed session.

Chairperson Rogers stated that his areas of interests is the setback issues; future development noting that this is an ordinance that will be applied to this project and future projects.

VOTE: Rogers & Zumbach – Aye Walker - Nay

The second consideration is scheduled for Wednesday, December 14th and third and final consideration is scheduled for Wednesday, December 21st.

Motion by Rogers, seconded by Walker to approve Payroll Authorizations as follows:

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Clerk Typist	Cara Hromidko	New hire – FT 12/12/22 53A \$17.51 Replaces E. Schutz
--------------	---------------	---

RECORDER'S OFFICE

Recorder	Joan McCalmant	Termination/term ending 12/31/22
Recorder	Carolyn Siebrecht	Elected/transfer from Deputy Recorder 01/01/23 \$124,488.26 Replaces J. McCalmant

Deputy Recorder	Carolyn Siebrecht	Termination/resignation as Deputy 12/31/22
Deputy Recorder	Joan McCalmant	Appointed/transfer from Recorder 01/01/23 \$105,815.06 Replaces C. Siebrecht

Deputy Recorder	Chris Bys	Termination/retirement 01/04/23
-----------------	-----------	---------------------------------

TREASURER'S OFFICE

Universal Clerk	Amanda Blood	End of probation 12/09/2022 56A \$21.42 – 56B \$22.51
Universal Clerk	Robert Jett	Step increase/contract 12/13/2022 56B \$22.51 – 56C \$23.78

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Application Support Analyst	Jami Kondora	Step increase/contract 12/16/2022 40D \$38.06 – 40E \$40.13
-----------------------------	--------------	---

LIFTS

LIFTS Driver	Keith Weller	Termination/resignation 12/06/22
--------------	--------------	----------------------------------

COMMUNITY SERVICES

Income Maintenance Worker	Nichole Butler	Termination/resignation 12/09/22
Food Service Coord.	Kayla Acosta	Temp transfer 12/05/22 53D \$20.01 – 56B \$22.51
Home Health Care Aide	Martha Janey	Termination/retirement 01/05/23
Youth Worker	Melanie Blunn	Correction to shift differential 12/12/22 56A \$21.42 + \$.25

VETERAN AFFAIRS

VA Commissioner	Cary Hahn	New hire/appointed 11/21/22 \$50/month
-----------------	-----------	--

PUBLIC HEALTH

Account Technician	Denise Allison	Step increase/contract 12/13/22 58B \$26.48 – 58C \$27.98
Intern	Adriana Kotchkoski	Termination/internship ended 11/18/22

ENGINEERING

Road Maintenance Supervisor	Nick Boren	Promotion 12/03/22 19E \$29.76 – Gr 27 \$72,017/annually Replaces C. Koerperich
-----------------------------	------------	--

Motion by Rogers, seconded by Walker to approve claims for void/reissue ACH in the amt. of \$509.75; claims for payroll deduction checks #71009374-#71009385 in the amt. of \$17,326.49, payroll deduction ACH in the amt. of \$57,026.89, and payroll wires in the amt. of \$1,982,165.22 for a total of \$2,056,518.60.

Motion by Rogers, seconded by Walker to make the following reappointments:

- Reappoint Ben Brink, Medical Examiner Investigator, retroactive to 12/31/2015, for a term ending 12/31/2024.
- Reappoint Michelle Kiesey and Kevin Weber, Medical Examiner Investigators, retroactive to 12/31/2020, for a term ending 12/31/2024.
- Reappoint Dr. Greg Blythe, Deputy Medical Examiner, retroactive to 12/31/2021, for a term ending 12/31/2023.
- Reappoint Dr. Donald Linder, Linn County Medical Examiner, and Dr. Josh Pruitt, Dr. Jeffrey Curnes, Dr. Arleen Zahn-Houser, Deputy Medical Examiners, for a term ending 12/31/2024.
- Reappoint Alisha Weber, Medical Examiner Investigator, for a term ending 12/31/2024.

The Board recessed at 12:58 p.m. and reconvened at 1:30 p.m. to review proposed Fiscal Year 2024 budgets for the County Attorney and Board of Supervisors. Present: Dawn Jindrich, Finance Dir.; Sara Bearrows, Budget Dir.; Nick Maybanks, County Attorney); Darrin Gage, Dir. of Policy & Admin.; and Joi Alexander, Communications Dir.

Attorney – FY24 proposed appropriations request exceeds the Board’s guidelines by \$26,168 due to the following changes: overtime; investigations – vehicle repairs; criminal – witness fees, education, mileage; civil – telephone; and juvenile – education.

Maybanks highlighted a power point of his budget including: challenges with rise in violent crime, controversial and new areas of litigation for civil, discretionary expenditures, staffing, CIP Projects and KPI’s. Offers: Civil Attorney - \$166,633.80; Criminal Division Prosecutor III - \$166,633.80; Victim Witness Division Secretary - \$74,905.36; Criminal Division Rehabilitation Prosecutor - \$159,332.16; Legal Assistant - \$85,595.25; Secretary – Criminal - \$74,899.42; Criminal Division – Clerk Typist - \$70,237.32.

Motion by Rogers, seconded by Walker to approve FY24 Attorney appropriations in the amount of \$6,004,457 and revenues in the amount of \$315,200.

The Board recessed at 2:54 p.m. and reconvened at 3:00 p.m.

Board of Supervisors – FY24 proposed appropriation is under the Board’s guidelines by \$3,125. This is the net result of reductions to office equipment and telephone expenses, a \$20,000 reallocation of software contracts from the Board Other budget and the removal of \$23,020 in operating expenses for the Sustainability Dept.

Gage presented the budget and KPI’s.

Alexander presented Offers: Crisis Communications Plan - \$20,000 and Secure Online Forms (CivicOptimize) - \$25,800.

Motion by Rogers, seconded by Walker to approve FY24 Board of Supervisors appropriations in the amount of \$1,366,149.

The Board recessed at 3:29 p.m. and reconvened at 5:30 p.m. for a public hearing to establish a Secondary Road Assessment District. Also present: Brad Ketels, County Engineer.

Motion by Rogers, seconded by Walker to open public hearing to establish a Secondary Road Assessment District to improve Sutton Road and Buffalo Drive with tree clearing, guardrail repair, sign replacements, 1.5" rock overlay, double seal coat with a single seal coat in 5 years at a cost of \$175,546.00. The assessment district includes Sutton Road and Buffalo Drive in Sections 19, 20, 30, & 29 T86 R6. Proof of publication was presented.

Brad Ketels, County Engineer, stated that some work has been done in this area within the last few years and the roads receive chloride for dust control. Eight objections have been received. His recommendation is to keep the roads as they are until they reach 400 cars per day.

Brandi Sindelar, Central City, stated that she received her letter only an hour before the objection deadline today and feels that there are many others that are unaware of this public hearing tonight due to the post office. Sindelar feels that improvements are unnecessary as the road is very well maintained with low dust and nice gravel. It is one of the best gravel roads there is. Culverts on this road were replaced in the last two years and they paid for that improvement already. This new assessment is a waste of resources for the county and landowners. Paris bridge is a one lane bridge that people park along the side of the road and is a nuisance area. If they made the road more accessible it will increase traffic. Sindelar has a small family farm and with inflation this is another thing for them to pay that gives them no benefit for

their property. She feels that funds spent in this area should go towards improving Paris Rd. itself and the seal coat. She would rather see that road fully seal coated.

Steve Ciha, 5290 Sutton Rd., Central City, stated that he wishes Brad Ketels would have explained the new cost and an option to spread out the payment over 10 years. The biggest problem is the Paris natural area that was created. There is no thought between Conservation and Secondary Roads and how it is going to work.

Deb Dudley, 5222 Sutton Rd., Central City, stated that her concern is that her house isn't too far from the road. There is constant traffic for people bringing canoes and kids that go down to the river. Dust control needs to be done more often. It helps for maybe a month and then it is gone. She cannot sit down on her deck because of the dust.

Nathan Bailey, 5188 Sutton Rd., Central City, stated that he tried to have the road seal coated a few years ago and now it is dust all the time. GPS does not send people down Paris Rd, it sends them down Sutton Rd and causing constant traffic. There are houses pretty close to the road. They need more than once a year dust control. Bailey pays \$600 a year to seal coat 300 ft. in front of his house. If this assessment is split between everyone and can pay over 10 years it is more economical.

Tammy Dougherty, 2237 Castle Ln., Central City, stated that they own 50 acres on Sutton Rd and wrote a letter with their opposition of this assessment. She doesn't feel that everyone should have to pay this amount. It is still \$2,700 whether it is in one payment or spread out over 10 years and some people simply cannot afford it. Personally, she would like to see something happen to Paris Rd since it floods every year. Maybe culverts could be installed or a permanent fix.

Mike Dougherty, 2237 Castle Ln., Central City, stated that he is opposed to this. He does not live on that road but has property. He feels like the chloride that is put down is sufficient. The traffic goes to the bridge and natural area and that is a big problem. Why isn't Conservation kicking in money to seal coat the road? Dougherty is opposed to this assessment the way it is right now. If people want dust control they can do private dust control and shouldn't have to pay for something they do not want.

Chad Hastings, 5219 Buffalo Dr., Central City, stated that the biggest issue is the county put out the road markers at the end of the season and not when it is the busiest time. If the county puts chloride down and the road maintainer comes by a week later they dig it all up and it is pointless. The ditches were just done and he is not sure why it is their responsibility to trim the trees in the right of way. Hastings likes the way the road is right now with chloride and to keep the maintainer off the road.

Shirley Peckosh, 1400 Vogt Rd., Robins, stated that she has a parcel that is just pasture and bought a little farmstead as well and tore the house down. She stated that she is being charged twice but does not live there and it's only pasture. Peckosh doesn't mind paying her share but does not feel it is fair that she is being charged for two spots that are contiguous. She is also not opposed to having the road seal coated if the property owners that live there want it done.

Shannon Lochner, 5218 Buffalo Dr., Central City, stated that he has two parcels and is being charged double for it. He moved out there recently and feels it is unfair. The county has other projects going on that cost more than this to maintain or do improvements on. He does not feel that dust has been a problem and Paris Rd. would be a more wise choice to make any improvements to. The way this cost is being split up is not fair and it was not how it was presented to them. If they didn't want dust they would move into town and wouldn't have moved to this property if dust was an issue. The traffic counts do get higher and Conservation should consider that.

Matt Pence, Sheuyville, stated that he has a cabin along the river. They watch cars fly by stirring up the dust and they can't see the next car coming at them. Conservation should pay for some of this assessment. He feels that something has to be done. The dust control the county is putting down does not work. Dust control needs to be put down more often and do a traffic count down in June, July or August.

Barb Schult, 5264 Sutton Rd., Central City, stated that they are recently new property owners and are well aware of the dust. She looks at it as an improvement for all their properties values. They are in the process of building. Recently, between the maintainer going by and the water on the cars, cars are dirty and dusty all the time. They knew what they were getting into. Schult agrees about Conservation taking some responsibility. There is tons of traffic and dust on a regular basis around their property. Maintaining the traffic flow would be a big improvement for seal coating.

Motion by Rogers, seconded by Zumbach to close public hearing.

Discussion continued regarding how the assessment is paid back and the ability for only those in favor to pay for it and the county's dust control program and process.

Ketels explained that the county does dust control once a year, however he would be in favor of having it applied twice to this area noting that calcium chloride is dependent on moisture.

Supervisor Walker addressed the Conservation issue and that the Board is limited in compelling authorities like Conservation. It would be hard for him to vote to impose an additional levy on residents when they are split for and against the levy. There does not seem to be a majority that are for this levy.

Supervisor Zumbach stated that if those that do want this and live along that road want to look into what the cost would be, assuming they are more than two or three of them, he is willing to come back and review it again under those pretenses. It is a highly traveled road for a short period of time. Another option is to raise the road that keeps flooding and that can be explored.

Chairperson Rogers added that he is in agreement with his colleagues on this one.

Motion by Zumbach, seconded by Walker to deny secondary road assessment, look into chloride being applied twice a year and if there is interest in coming forward with a different plan to revisit at that time.

Adjournment at 6:11 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

JOEL D. MILLER, Linn County Auditor
By: Rebecca Shoop, Deputy Auditor
and Amanda Hoy, Executive Assistant

Approved by:

BEN ROGERS, Chairperson
Board of Supervisors